UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT



| | for the | MAY 3 1 2024 |
|--|--|--|
| | Eastern District of California | |
| United States of America |) | CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORI BY DEPUTY CLERK |
| v. |) | |
| JOSE MIGUEL HERNANDEZ |) Case No. 2:24 | l-mj-00070-DB-3 |
| Defendant | | |
| · | | |
| ORDER O | F DETENTION PENDING | TRIAL |
| P | art I - Eligibility for Detention | |
| Upon the | | |
| X Motion of the Government att | orney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142 | (f)(1), or |
| ─ | Court's own motion pursuant to 18 | |
| the Court held a detention hearing and found t | | |
| and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S | .C. § 3142(i), in addition to any oth | er findings made at the hearing. |
| Part II - Findings of | Fact and Law as to Presumptions | under § 3142(e) |
| A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Un | nder 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previou | us violator): There is a rebuttable |
| presumption that no condition or combin | • | |
| and the community because the followin | g conditions have been met: | |
| _`_ | one of the following crimes describ | |
| | olation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an o | |
| | • | of 10 years or more is prescribed; or |
| (b) an offense for which the | maximum sentence is life imprisor | nment or death; or |
| `` ` ′ | • | 0 years or more is prescribed in the |
| | 21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Control Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 | lled Substances Import and Export Act U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or |
| (d) any felony if such person | n has been convicted of two or mor | e offenses described in subparagraphs |
| described in subparagraphs | A | offenses that would have been offenses a circumstance giving rise to Federal |
| (e) any felony that is not oth | nerwise a crime of violence but invo | olves: |
| • | ossession of a firearm or destructive apon; or (iv) a failure to register un | e device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); ider 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i> |
| (2) the defendant has previously | been convicted of a Federal offense | that is described in 18 U.S.C. |

§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

Case 2:24-mj-00070-DB Document 14 Filed 05/31/24 Page 2 of 3 AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

| Y R Rabilitable Presimption Arises Under IX II S L & \$14/(e)(\$) (narcotics tirearm other offenses). There is a |
|---|
| X B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a |
| rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant |
| committed one or more of the following offenses: |
| X (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the |
| Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 |
| U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); |
| (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; |
| (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years |
| or more is prescribed; |
| (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of |
| imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or |
| (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, |
| 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425. |
| X C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above |
| X The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is |
| ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.) |
| OR |
| The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the |
| presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted. |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention |
| |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. n addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. n addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. n addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. n addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. n addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. n addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. n addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment |
| he Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. n addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse |

Case 2:24-mj-00070-DB Document 14 Filed 05/31/24 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

| 7 | Significant family or other ties outside the United States |
|---|---|
|] | Lack of legal status in the United States |
| : | Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration |
|] | Prior failure to appear in court as ordered |
|] | Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement |
| 1 | Use of alias(es) or false documents |
|] | Background information unknown or unverified |
|] | Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release |

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: May 31, 2024

DEBORAH BARNES
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE